arXiv:1603.07217v1 [cs.FL] 23 Mar 2016

The trace monoids in the queue monoid and in the direct product of two free monoids

Dietrich Kuske and Olena Prianychnykova*

Fachgebiet Automaten und Logik, Technische Universität Ilmenau

Abstract. We prove that a trace monoid embeds into the queue monoid if and only if it embeds into the direct product of two free monoids. We also give a decidable characterization of these trace monoids.

1 Introduction

Trace monoids model the behavior of concurrent systems whose concurrency is governed by the use of joint resources. They were introduced into computer science by Mazurkiewicz in his study of Petri nets [10]. Since then, much work has been invested on their structure, see [4] for comprehensive surveys. A basic fact about trace monoids is that they can be embedded into the direct product of free monoids [1]. Since the proof of this fact is constructive, an upper bound for the number of factors needed in such a free product is immediate (it is the number α of cliques needed to cover the dependence alphabet). If the dependence alphabet is a path on n vertices, than this upper bound equals the exact number, namely $n-1$. But there are cases where the exact number is considerably smaller (the examples are from [3]:

- If the independence alphabet is the disjoint union of two copies of C_4 (the cycle on four vertices), then $\alpha = 4$, but 3 factors suffice.
- If the independence alphabet is the disjoint union of n copies of K_k (the complete graph on k vertices), then $\alpha = k^n$, but k factors suffice.

The strongest result in this respect is due to Kunc [7]: Given a C_3- and C_4-free dependence alphabet and a natural number k, it is decidable whether the trace monoid embeds into the direct product of k free monoids. In this paper, we extend this positive result to all dependence alphabets, but only for the case $k = 2$. More precisely, we give a complete and decidable characterization of all independence alphabets whose generated trace monoid embeds into the direct product of two free monoids.

Queue monoids, another class of monoids, have been introduced recently [5,6]. They model the behavior of a single fifo-queue. Intuitively, the basic actions (i.e., generators of the monoid) are the action of writing the letter a into the queue (denoted a) and reading the letter a from the queue (denoted $\bar{a}$). Sequences of actions are equivalent if they induce the same state change on any queue. For instance, writing a symbol into the queue and reading *another* symbol from the other end of the queue are two actions that can be permuted without changing the overall behavior, symbolically: $a\bar{b} \equiv \bar{b}a$. But there are also more complex equivalences that can be understood as "conditional commutativity", e.g., $ab\bar{b} \equiv a\bar{b}b$. The unconditional commutations allow to embed the direct product of two free monoids into the queue monoid [6]. In [6], it is conjectured that the monoid $\mathbb{N}^3$ cannot be embedded into the queue monoid. Note that these two monoids are special trace monoids and that any trace monoid embedding into the direct product of two free monoids consequently embeds into the queue monoid. In this paper, we prove the conjecture from [6] and characterize, more generally, the class of trace monoids that embed into the queue monoid.

In summary, this paper characterized two classes of trace monoids defined by their embedability into $\{a,b\}^* \times \{c,d\}^*$ and into the queue monoid, respectively. As it turns out, these two classes are the same, i.e., a trace monoid embeds into the direct product of two free monoids if and only if it embeds into the queue monoid, and this property is decidable.

* Supported by the DFG-Project "Speichermechanismen als Monoide", KU 1107/9-1.

2 Preliminaries and main result

2.1 The trace monoid

Trace monoids are meant to model the behavior of concurrent systems whose concurrency is governed by the use of joint resources. Here, we take a slightly more abstract view and say that two actions are independent if they use disjoint resources. More formally, an *independence alphabet* is a pair (Γ, I) consisting of a countable (i.e., finite or of size $\aleph_0$) set Γ and an irreflexive and symmetric relation $I \subseteq \Gamma^2$ called the *independence relation*. By $D = \Gamma^2 \setminus I$, we denote the complementary *dependence relation*.

An independence alphabet (Γ, I) induces a trace monoid as follows: Let $\equiv_I$ denote the least congruence on the free monoid Γ^* with $ab \equiv_I ba$ for all pairs $(a, b) \in I$. Then the *trace monoid associated with* (Γ, I) is the quotient $\mathbb{M}(\Gamma, I) = \Gamma^*/\equiv_I$, the equivalence class containing $u \in \Gamma^*$ is denoted $[u]_I$.

Thus the defining equations of the trace monoid are the equations $ab \equiv_I ba$ for some pairs of letters (a, b).

We will only need very basic properties of the trace monoid $\mathbb{M}(\Gamma, I)$, namely the following:

Proposition 2.1. *Let (Γ, I) be an independence alphabet.*

(1) Let $\Gamma = \bigcup_{i\in I} C_i$ with $D = \bigcup_{i\in I} C_i \times C_i$. Then the trace monoid $\mathbb{M}(\Gamma, \Gamma^2 \setminus I)$ embeds into the monoid

$$\prod_{i\in I} \{a, b\}^* ,$$

i.e., into a direct product of free monoids [1].

(2) The trace monoid $\mathbb{M}(\Gamma, I)$ is cancellative, i.e., $uvw \equiv_I uv'w$ implies $v \equiv_I v'$ for all words $u, v, v', w \in \Gamma^*$.

In this paper, we will often use graph-theoretic terms to speak about an independence alphabet (Γ, I) – where we identify I with the set of edges $\{a, b\}$ for $(a, b) \in I$. In other words, we think of (Γ, I) as a symmetric and loop-free graph. We will also take the liberty to write (C, I) for the subgraph of (Γ, I) induced by $C \subseteq \Gamma$. We call a connected component C of (Γ, I) *nontrivial* if it is not an isolated vertex. The connected component C is *bipartite* if $I \cap C^2 \subseteq (C_1 \times C_2) \cup (C_2 \times C_1)$ for some partition $C_1 \uplus C_2$ of C. It is *complete bipartite* if $I \cap C^2 = (C_1 \times C_2) \cup (C_2 \times C_1)$. Finally, an independence alphabet (Γ, I) is *P_4-free* if no induced subgraph is isomorphic to P_4, i.e., if there are no four distinct vertices a, b, c, d with $(a, b), (b, c), (c, d) \in I$ and $(b, d), (d, a), (a, c) \in D$.

Using this graph theoretic language, the sets C_i in Proposition 2.1(1) form a covering of (Γ, D) by cliques. It follows that the trace monoid $\mathbb{M}(\Gamma, I)$ can be embedded into the direct product of two free monoids whenever (Γ, D) has a clique covering with two cliques. But the existence of a clique cover with two cliques is not necessary for such an embedding. As an example, consider the independence alphabet (Γ, I) with $\Gamma = \{a_i, b_i \mid 0 \leq i < n\}$ and $I = \{(a_i, b_i), (b_i, a_i) \mid 0 \leq i < n\}$ (where $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\aleph_0\}$). Then $D = \{(a_i, b_j), (b_j, a_i) \mid 0 \leq i, j < n, i \neq j\}$. Hence $|D| + n = n^2$ and all cliques in (Γ, D) contain at most 2 elements. Our main result shows that, nevertheless, the trace monoid $\mathbb{M}(\Gamma, I)$ embeds into the direct product of two copies of $\{a, b\}^*$.

2.2 The queue monoid

The queue monoid models the behavior of a fifo-queue whose entries come from a set A. Consequently, the state of a valid queue is an element from A^*. In order to have a defined result even if a read action fails, we add the error state $\perp$. The basic actions are writing of the symbol $a \in A$ into the queue (denoted a) and reading the symbol $a \in A$ from the queue (denoted $\overline{a}$). Formally, $\overline{A}$ is a disjoint copy of A whose elements are denoted $\overline{a}$. Furthermore, we set $\Sigma = A \cup \overline{A}$. Hence,

the free monoid Σ^* is the set of sequences of basic actions and it acts on the set $A^* \cup \{\bot\}$ by way of the function $.\colon (A^* \cup \{\bot\}) \times \Sigma^* \to A^* \cup \{\bot\}$, which is defined as follows:

$$q.\varepsilon = q \qquad q.au = qa.u \qquad q.\overline{a}u = \begin{cases} q'.u & \text{if } q = aq' \\ \bot & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \qquad \bot.u = \bot$$

for $q \in A^*$, $a \in A$, and $u \in \Sigma^*$.

Definition 2.2. *Two words $u, v \in \Sigma^*$ are* equivalent *if $q.u = q.v$ for all queues $q \in A^*$. In that case, we write $u \equiv v$. The equivalence class wrt. $\equiv$ containing the word u is denoted $[u]$.*

Since $\equiv$ is a congruence on the free monoid Σ^*, we can define the quotient monoid $Q_A = \Sigma^*/\equiv$ that is called the monoid of queue actions *or* queue monoid *for short.*

Note that two queue monoids are not isomorphic if the generating sets have different size. But, for any generating set A, the queue monoid Q_A embeds into $Q_{\{a,b\}}$ [6, Cor. 5.5] (the proof in [6] can easily be extended to infinite sets A). Since this paper is concerned with submonoids of Q_A, the concrete size of A does not matter. Hence we will simply write Q for Q_A, no matter what the set A is.

Theorem 2.3 ([6, Theorem 4.3]). *The equivalence relation $\equiv$ is the least congruence on the free monoid Σ^* satisfying the following for all $a, b, c \in A$:*

$$a\overline{b} \equiv \overline{b}a \text{ if } a \neq b$$
$$a\overline{b}\overline{c} \equiv \overline{b}a\overline{c}$$
$$ab\overline{c} \equiv a\overline{c}b$$

The second and third of these equations generalize nicely to words:

Lemma 2.4 ([6, Corollary 3.6]). *Let $u, v, w \in A^*$.*

- *If $|u| \leq |w|$, then $u\overline{vw} \equiv \overline{v}u\overline{w}$.*
- *If $|u| \geq |w|$, then $uv\overline{w} \equiv u\overline{w}v$.*

Let $\pi\colon \Sigma^* \to A^*$ be the homomorphism defined by $\pi(a) = a$ and $\pi(\overline{a}) = \varepsilon$ for all $a \in A$. Similarly, define the homomorphism $\overline{\pi}\colon \Sigma^* \to A^*$ by $\pi(a) = \varepsilon$ and $\pi(\overline{a}) = a$ for all $a \in A$. Then, from Theorem 2.3, we immediately get

$$u \equiv v \implies \pi(u) = \pi(v) \text{ and } \overline{\pi}(u) = \overline{\pi}(v)$$

for all words $u, v \in \Sigma^*$. Hence the homomorphisms π and $\overline{\pi}$ define homomorphisms from Q to A^* by $[u] \mapsto \pi(u)$ and $[u] \mapsto \overline{\pi}(u)$. The words $\pi(u)$ and $\overline{\pi}(u)$ are called the *positive* and *negative projection* of u (or $[u]$).

Ordering the equations from Theorem 2.3 from left to right, we obtain a semi-Thue system. This semi-Thue system is confluent and terminating. Hence any equivalence class of $\equiv$ has a unique normal form. To describe these normal forms, we write $\langle a_1 a_1 \dots a_n, \overline{b_1 b_2} \dots \overline{b_n} \rangle$ for $a_1\overline{b_1}a_2\overline{b_2}\dots a_n\overline{b_n}$ (where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_i, b_i \in A$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$). Then a word $u \in \Sigma^*$ is in normal form iff there are three words $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in A^*$ with $u = \overline{u_1}\langle u_2, \overline{u_2}\rangle u_3$. We write $\mathrm{nf}(u)$ for the unique word from the equivalence class $[u]$ in normal form. Furthermore, the mixed or central part of the word $\mathrm{nf}(u)$, i.e., the word u_2 with $\mathrm{nf}(u) = \overline{u_1}\langle u_2, \overline{u_2}\rangle u_3$ is denoted $\mu(u)$.

The importance of this word $\mu(u)$ is described by the following observation: Let $u, v \in \Sigma^*$. Then the following are equivalent:

1. $u \equiv v$
2. $\mathrm{nf}(u) = \mathrm{nf}(v)$
3. $\pi(u) = \pi(v)$, $\overline{\pi}(u) = \overline{\pi}(v)$, and $\mu(u) = \mu(v)$

Next, we describe the normal form of the product of two words in normal form. For this, we need the concept of the overlap of two words: Let $u, v \in A^*$. Then the *overlap* of u and v is the longest word x that is both, a suffix of u and a prefix of v. We write $\mathrm{ol}(u, v)$ for this overlap.

Theorem 2.5 ([6, Theorem 5.5]). *Let $u, v \in A^*$. Then $\mathrm{nf}(uv) = \overline{s}\langle \mu(uv), \overline{\mu(uv)}\rangle t$ with*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(uv) &= \mathrm{ol}(\mu(u)\,\overline{\pi}(v), \pi(u)\,\mu(v))\,, \\ s\,\mu(uv) &= \overline{\pi}(uv) \text{ and} \\ \mu(uv)\,t &= \pi(uv)\,. \end{aligned}$$

In the following lemma we describe the normal form of the n-th power of an element of the queue monoid Q. This will turn out useful in the following considerations.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $u \in A^*$. Then for every $n \geq 1$ we have*

$$\mu(u^n) = \mathrm{ol}(\mu(u)\,\overline{\pi}(u)^{n-1}, \pi(u)^{n-1}\,\mu(u))\,.$$

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on n. The statement is obvious for $n = 1$.

Let $n > 1$ and assume that the statement holds for every $i < n$. Then by the induction hypothesis

$$\mu(u^{n-1}) = \mathrm{ol}(\mu(u)\,\overline{\pi}(u)^{n-2}, \pi(u)^{n-2}\,\mu(u))\,.$$

Now set

$$s = \mathrm{ol}(\mu(u^{n-1})\,\overline{\pi}(u), \pi(u^{n-1})\,\mu(u))$$

such that $\mu(u^n) = \mu(u^{n-1}u) = s$ by Theorem 2.5. It remains to be shown that s is the overlap of the words $\mu(u)\,\overline{\pi}(u)^{n-1}$ and $\pi(u)^{n-1}\,\mu(u)$. To simplify notation, let s' denote this overlap, i.e., set

$$s' = \mathrm{ol}(\mu(u)\,\overline{\pi}(u)^{n-1}, \pi(u)^{n-1}\,\mu(u))\,.$$

Note that s is a suffix of $\mu(u^{n-1})\,\overline{\pi}(u)$. Since $\mu(u^{n-1})$ is a suffix of $\mu(u)\,\overline{\pi}(u)^{n-2}$, it follows that s is a suffix of $\mu(u)\,\overline{\pi}(u)^{n-1}$. By its very definition, s is also a prefix of $\pi(u^{n-1})\,\mu(u)$. Since s' is the longest word that is both, a suffix of $\mu(u)\,\overline{\pi}(u)^{n-1}$ and a prefix of $\pi(u)^{n-1}\,\mu(u)$, it follows that $|s'| \geq |s|$. Since $s = \mathrm{ol}(\mu(u^{n-1})\,\overline{\pi}(u), \pi(u^{n-1})\,\mu(u))$, we get $|s| - |\,\mu(u^{n-1})| \leq |\,\overline{\pi}(u)|$, i.e., $|s| \leq |\,\mu(u^{n-1})\,\overline{\pi}(u)|$. Since both, s' and $\mu(u^{n-1})\,\overline{\pi}(u)$ are suffixes of $\mu(u)(\overline{\pi}(u))^{n-1}$, it follows that s' is a suffix of $\mu(u^{n-1})\,\overline{\pi}(u)$. Since it is also a prefix of $\pi(u)^{n-1}\,\mu(u)$, we get $|s| \geq |s'|$. Hence we showed $|s| = |s'|$. Consequently, s and s' are prefixes of $\pi(u^{n-1})\,\mu(u)$ of the same length and therefore $s = s'$. □

2.3 The main result

The results of this paper are summarised in the following theorem. It characterizes those trace monoids that can be embedded into the queue monoid as well as those that embed into the direct product of two free monoids. In particular, these two classes of trace monoids are the same. And, in addition, given a finite independence alphabet, it is decidable whether the generated trace monoid falls into this class.

Theorem 2.7. *Let (Γ, I) be a countable independence alphabet. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) The trace monoid $\mathbb{M}(\Gamma, I)$ embeds into the queue monoid Q.
(2) The trace monoid $\mathbb{M}(\Gamma, I)$ embeds into the direct product $\{a, b\}^* \times \{c, d\}^*$ of two free monoids.
(3) One of the following conditions hold:
  (3.a) All nodes in (Γ, I) have degree ≤ 1.
  (3.b) The independence alphabet (Γ, I) has only one non-trivial connected component and this component is complete bipartite.

The implication "(2) implies (1)" follows immediately from [5, Prop 8.2] since there, we showed that $\{a, b\}^* \times \{c, d\}^*$ embeds into the queue monoid Q. In the following section, we present embeddings of $\mathbb{M}(\Gamma, I)$ whenever (Γ, I) satisfies condition (3). The main work here is concerend with independence alphabets satisfying (3.a). The subsequent section shows that any trace monoid that embeds into the queue monoid satisfies condition (3). Technically, this proof is much harder than the first one.

3 (3) implies (2) in Theorem 2.7

Let (Γ, I) be an independence alphabet satisfying (3.a) or (3.b) of Theorem 2.7. We will prove that $\mathbb{M}(\Gamma, I)$ embeds into the direct product of two free monoids (Lemma 3.1).

Lemma 3.1. *Let (Γ, I) be an (at most countably infinite) independence alphabet such that all nodes in (Γ, I) have degree ≤ 1. Then $\mathbb{M}(\Gamma, I)$ embeds into the direct product of two countably infinite free monoids.*

Proof. Consider the independence alphabet (Σ, I) with $\Sigma = \{a_i, b_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and

$$I = \{(a_i, b_i), (b_i, a_i) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Then (Γ, I) can be seen as a sub-alphabet of (Σ, I) so that $\mathbb{M}(\Gamma, I)$ embeds into $\mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I)$.

We embed $\mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I)$ into the direct product

$$M = \{c_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\} \times \{d_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Note that in this monoid (c_i, d_i) and $(c_i, d_i d_i)$ commute. Hence there is a homomorphism $\eta\colon \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I) \to M$ with $\eta(a_i) = (c_i, d_i)$ and $\eta(b_i) = (c_i, d_i d_i)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

To show that this homomorphism is injective, we use lexicographic normal forms. So let $\sqsubseteq$ be a linear order on Σ with $a_i \sqsubset b_i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Now let $u \in \Sigma^*$ be in lexicographic normal form wrt. $\sqsubseteq$. Then the word u has the form

$$u = a_{i_1}^{k_1} b_{i_1}^{\ell_1} a_{i_2}^{k_2} b_{i_2}^{\ell_2} \cdots a_{i_s}^{k_s} b_{i_s}^{\ell_s}$$

where $i_a \in \mathbb{N}$, $k_a + \ell_a > 0$ for all $1 \leq a \leq s$ and $i_a \neq i_{a+1}$ for all $1 \leq a < s$. The image of u equals

$$\eta(u) = \begin{pmatrix} c_{i_1}^{k_1+\ell_1} & c_{i_2}^{k_2+\ell_2} & \cdots & c_{i_s}^{k_s+\ell_s} \\ d_{i_1}^{k_1+2\ell_1} & d_{i_2}^{k_2+2\ell_2} & \cdots & d_{i_s}^{k_s+2\ell_s} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next let also v be a word in lexicographic normal form:

$$v = a_{j_1}^{m_1} b_{j_1}^{n_1} a_{j_2}^{m_2} b_{j_2}^{n_2} \cdots a_{j_t}^{m_t} b_{j_t}^{n_t}$$

where $j_a \in \mathbb{N}$, $m_a + n_a > 0$ for all $1 \leq a \leq t$ and $j_a \neq j_{a+1}$ for all $1 \leq a < t$. The image of u' equals

$$\eta(v) = \begin{pmatrix} c_{j_1}^{m_1+n_1} & c_{j_2}^{m_2+n_2} & \cdots & c_{j_t}^{m_t+n_t} \\ d_{j_1}^{m_1+2n_1} & d_{j_2}^{m_2+2_2} & \cdots & d_{j_t}^{m_t+2_t} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose $\eta(u) = \eta(v)$. Since all the exponents of c_i and d_i in the expressions for $\eta(u)$ and for $\eta(v)$ are positive and consecutive c_i and d_i have distinct indices, we obtain $s = t$, $i_a = j_a$, $k_a + \ell_a = m_a + n_a$ and $k_a + 2\ell_a = m_a + 2n_a$ for all $1 \leq a \leq s$. Hence $k_a = m_a$ and $\ell_a = n_a$ for all $1 \leq a \leq s$ and therefore $u = v$. Hence η embeds $\mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I)$ into M and we get

$$\mathbb{M}(\Gamma, I) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{M}(\Sigma, I) \hookrightarrow M. \qquad \square$$

Theorem 3.2. *Let (Γ, I) be an independence alphabet such that one of the following conditions holds:*

1. *all nodes in (Γ, I) have degree ≤ 1 or*
2. *(Γ, I) has only one non-trivial connected component and this component is complete bipartite*

Then $M(\Gamma, I)$ embeds into $\{a, b\}^* \times \{c, d\}^*$.

Proof. Let (Γ, I) be such that the first condition holds, i.e., all nodes in (Γ, I) have degree ≤ 1. Then by Lemma 3.1 there is an embedding of $M(\Gamma, I)$ into a direct product of two countably infinite free monoids.

Now let (Γ, I) be such that the second condition holds, i.e., (Γ, I) has only one non-trivial connected component and this component is complete bipartite. In other words, $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \uplus \Gamma_2 \uplus \Gamma_3$ with $I = \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_2 \times \Gamma_1$. Then the corresponding dependence alphabet (Γ, D) can be covered by the two cliques induced by $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_3$ and $\Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_3$. Consequently, [2, Corollary 1.4.5 (General Embedding Theorem), p. 26] implies that $M(\Gamma, I)$ is a submonoid of a direct product of two countably infinite free monoids.

Note that the countably infinite free monoid $\{a_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}^*$ embeds into $\{a, b\}^*$ via $a_i \mapsto a^i b$. Hence, in any case, $\mathbb{M}(\Gamma, I)$ embeds into $\{a, b\}^* \times \{c, d\}^*$. □

4 (1) implies (3) in Theorem 2.7

Definition 4.1. *Let (Γ, I) be an independence alphabet and $\eta\colon \mathbb{M}(\Gamma, I) \hookrightarrow Q$ be an embedding. We partition Γ into sets Γ_+, Γ_-, and $\Gamma_\pm$ according to the emptiness of the projections of $\eta(a)$:*

- *$a \in \Gamma_+$ iff $\pi(\eta(a)) \neq \varepsilon$ and $\overline{\pi}(\eta(a)) = \varepsilon$.*
- *$a \in \Gamma_-$ iff $\pi(\eta(a)) = \varepsilon$ and $\overline{\pi}(\eta(a)) \neq \varepsilon$.*
- *$a \in \Gamma_\pm$ iff $\pi(\eta(a)) \neq \varepsilon$ and $\overline{\pi}(\eta(a)) \neq \varepsilon$.*

We will prove the following:

- $(\Gamma_+ \cup \Gamma_-, I)$ is complete bipartite (Proposition 4.2).
- Every node $a \in \Gamma_\pm$ has degree ≤ 1 (Corollary 4.11 which is the most difficult part of the proof).
- Any letter from $\Gamma_+ \cup \Gamma_-$ is connected to any edge (Proposition 4.4).
- The graph (Γ, I) is P_4-free (Proposition 4.13).

At the end of this section, we infer that the independence alphabet (Γ, I) has the required property from Theorem 2.7 (3).

4.1 The set $\Gamma_+ \cup \Gamma_-$ induces a complete bipartite subgraph of (Γ, I)

Proposition 4.2. *Let (Γ, I) be an independence alphabet, let $\eta\colon \mathbb{M}(\Gamma, I) \hookrightarrow Q$ be an embedding . Then (Γ_+, I) and (Γ_-, I) are discrete and $(\Gamma_+ \cup \Gamma_-, I)$ is complete bipartite.*

Proof. We first show that (Γ_+, I) is discrete.

Towards a contradiction, suppose there are $a, b \in \Gamma_+$ with $(a, b) \in I$. Let $u = \pi(\eta(a))$ and $v = \pi(\eta(b))$. Since $\pi \circ \eta\colon \mathbb{M}(\Gamma, I) \to A^*$ is a homomorphism and since $[ab]_I = [ba]_I$, we get $uv = vu$. Hence u and v have a common root, i.e., there is a word p and there are $i, j > 0$ with $u = p^i$ and $v = p^j$. Hence

$$\pi(\eta(a)^j) = u^j = v^i = \pi(\eta(b)^i)\,.$$

Clearly, we also have

$$\overline{\pi}(\eta(a)^j) = \varepsilon = \overline{\pi}(\eta(b)^i)\,.$$

Hence

$$\eta(a)^j = [u^j] = [v^i] = \eta(b)^i.$$

Since η is injective, this implies $a^i \equiv_I b^j$ and therefore $a = b$, contradicting $(a, b) \in I$. Hence, there are no $a, b \in \Gamma_+$ with $(a, b) \in I$, i.e., (Γ_+, I) is discrete.

Symmetrically, also (Γ_-, I) is discrete.

It remains to be shown that $(a,b) \in I$ for any $a \in \Gamma_+$ and $b \in \Gamma_-$. So let $a \in \Gamma_+$ and $b \in \Gamma_-$. Then there are words $u, v \in A^*$ with $\eta(a) = [u]$ and $\eta(b) = [\overline{v}]$ (note that u and v are nonempty since η is an injection). We have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\eta(abb^{|u|}) &= [u\overline{v}\overline{v}^{|u|}] \\ &= [\overline{v}u\overline{v}^{|u|}] && \text{by Lemma 2.4 since } |u| \leq |v^{|u|}| \\ &= \eta(bab^{|u|})\end{aligned}$$

Since η is injective, this implies $abb^{|u|} \equiv_I bab^{|u|}$ and therefore $ab \equiv_I ba$. Now $(a,b) \in I$ follows from $a \neq b$. □

4.2 Nodes from $\Gamma_+ \cup \Gamma_-$ are connected to any edge

Lemma 4.3. *Let $u, v, w \in \Sigma^+$ such that $\overline{\pi}(u) = \varepsilon$, $vw \equiv wv$ and $v \neq w$. Then there exist vectors $\overrightarrow{x} = (x_u, x_v, x_w)$ and $\overrightarrow{y} = (y_u, y_v, y_w)$ in $\mathbb{N}^3$ such that $x_v + x_w \neq 0$ and*

$$u^{x_u} v^{x_v} u w^{x_w} \equiv u^{y_u} w^{y_w} u v^{y_v} \,. \tag{1}$$

(Note that the two sides of this equation differ in particular in the order of the words v and w.)

Proof. Since $vw \equiv wv$, there exist primitive words p and q and natural numbers a_v, a_w, b_v, b_w satisfying the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(v) &= p^{a_v} & \pi(w) &= p^{a_w} \\ \overline{\pi}(v) &= q^{b_v} & \overline{\pi}(w) &= q^{b_w}\end{aligned}$$

Since $v, w \neq \varepsilon$, we get $a_v + b_v \neq 0 \neq a_w + b_w$.

We first show that there are natural numbers x_v, x_w, y_v, y_w (not all zero) that satisfy the following system of linear equations.

$$\left.\begin{aligned} a_v x_v &= a_w y_w \\ a_w x_w &= a_v y_v \\ b_v x_v + b_w x_w &= b_w y_w + b_v y_v \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{2}$$

If $a_v = 0$, then set $x_v = y_v = 1$ and $x_w = y_w = 0$. Symmetrically, if $a_w = 0$, we set $x_v = y_v = 0$ and $x_w = y_w = 1$. If $a_v b_w = a_w b_v$, then set $x_v = y_v = a_w + b_w > 0$ and $x_w = y_w = a_v + b_v > 0$.

Now consider the case $a_v \neq 0 \neq a_w$ and $a_v b_w \neq a_w b_v$. The system (2) has a nontrivial solution over the field $\mathbb{Q}$. Consequently, there are integers x_v, x_w, y_v, y_w (not all zero) satisfying these equations. We show $x_v > 0 \iff x_w > 0$: First note that $x_v \neq 0$ iff $y_w \neq 0$ and $x_w \neq 0$ iff $y_v \neq 0$. Since not all of the integers x_v, x_w, y_v, y_w are zero, we get $x_v \neq 0$ or $x_w \neq 0$. Furthermore, since we have a solution, we get

$$y_w = \frac{a_v}{a_w} x_v \text{ and } y_v = \frac{a_w}{a_v} x_w \,.$$

Substituting these into the third equation yields

$$(b_v - b_w \frac{a_v}{a_w}) \cdot x_v = (b_v \frac{a_w}{a_v} - b_w) \cdot x_w = (b_v - b_w \frac{a_v}{a_w}) \cdot \frac{a_w}{a_v} \cdot x_w \,.$$

From $a_v b_w \neq a_w b_v$, we get $b_v - b_w \frac{a_v}{a_w} \neq 0$. Hence $x_v = \frac{a_w}{a_v} \cdot x_w$ and therefore $a_v x_v = a_w x_w$ follow. Now $a_v, a_w > 0$ imply $x_v > 0 \iff x_w > 0$. Consequently, all of x_v, x_w, y_v, y_w are non-negative or all are non-positive. Hence $|x_v|, |x_w|, |y_v|, |y_w|$ is a solution to the system (2) in natural numbers as required.

From now on, let $x_v, x_w, y_v, y_w \in \mathbb{N}$ be a nontrivial solution of the system (2). Furthermore, let $x_u = y_u \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|\overline{\pi}(v^{x_v} u w^{x_w})| \leq |u| \cdot x_u = |u^{x_u}|$. Then we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
u^{x_u} v^{x_v} u w^{x_w} &\equiv u^{x_u}\, \overline{\overline{\pi}(v^{x_v} u w^{x_w})}\, \pi(v^{x_v} u w^{x_w}) && \text{by Lemma 2.4} \\
&= u^{x_u}\, \overline{q}^{b_v x_v + b_w x_w}\, p^{a_v x_v} u p^{a_w x_w} \\
&= u^{y_u}\, \overline{q}^{b_w y_w + b_v y_v}\, p^{a_w y_w} u p^{a_v y_v} \\
&= u^{y_u}\, \overline{\overline{\pi}(w^{y_w} u v^{y_v})}\, \pi(w^{y_w} u v^{y_v}) \\
&\equiv u^{y_u} w^{y_w} u v^{y_v} && \text{by Lemma 2.4}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we found the vectors $\overrightarrow{x}$ and $\overrightarrow{y}$ satisfying Equation (1) with $x_v + x_w \neq 0$. □

Proposition 4.4. *Let (Γ, I) be an independence alphabet and let $\eta\colon \mathbb{M}(\Gamma, I) \hookrightarrow Q$ be an embedding. Let $a \in \Gamma_+ \cup \Gamma_-$ and $b, c \in \Gamma$ with $(b, c) \in I$. Then $(a, b) \in I$ or $(a, c) \in I$.*

Proof. If $a \in \{b, c\}$, we get $(a, b) \in I$ or $(a, c) \in I$ from $(b, c) \in I$. So assume $a \notin \{b, c\}$. There are words $u, v, w \in \Sigma^+$ with $\eta(a) = [u]$, $\eta(b) = [v]$, and $\eta(c) = [w]$. Since $(b, c) \in I$, we get $[vw] = \eta(bc) = \eta(cb) = [wv]$ and therefore $vw \equiv wv$. Furthermore, $[v] = \eta(b) \neq \eta(c) = [w]$ since η is injective and since $b \neq c$ follows from $(b, c) \in I$. Hence in particular $v \neq w$.

We first consider the case $a \in \Gamma_+$, i.e., $\overline{\pi}(u) = \varepsilon$. From Lemma 4.3, we find natural numbers $x_u, x_v, x_w, y_u, y_v, y_w$ with $u^{x_u} v^{x_v} u w^{x_w} \equiv u^{y_u} w^{y_w} u v^{y_v}$ and $x_v + x_w + y_v + y_w \neq 0$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta(a^{x_u} b^{x_v} a c^{x_w}) &= [u^{x_u} v^{x_v} u w^{x_w}] \\
&= [u^{y_u} w^{y_w} u v^{y_v}] \\
&= \eta(a^{y_u} c^{y_w} a b^{y_v})\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Since η is injective, this implies

$$a^{x_u} b^{x_v} a c^{x_w} \equiv_I a^{y_u} c^{y_w} a b^{y_v}\,.$$

If $x_v \neq 0$, then $(a, b) \in I$. Similarly, if $x_w \neq 0$, then $(a, c) \in I$. This settles the case $\overline{\pi}(u) = \varepsilon$.

Now let $\pi(u) = \varepsilon$. By duality, Lemma 4.3 yields natural numbers $x_u, x_v, x_w, y_u, y_v, y_w$ with $x_v + x_w + y_v + y_w \neq 0$ and $v^{x_v} u w^{x_w} u^{x_u} \equiv w^{y_w} u v^{y_v} u^{y_u}$. Then we can derive $(a, b) \in I$ or $(a, c) \in I$ as above. □

4.3 Nodes from $\Gamma_\pm$ have degree ≤ 1

Let $a \in \Gamma_\pm$. Then there are nonempty primitive words p and q with $\pi(\eta(a)) \in p^+$ and $\overline{\pi}(\eta(a)) \in q^+$, i.e., p and q are the primitive roots of the two projections of $\eta(a)$. The proof of the fact that a has at most one neighbor in (Γ, I) distinguishes two cases: first, we handle the case that p and q are not conjugated (recall that p and q are *conjugated* iff there are words $g \in A^*$ and $h \in A^+$ with $p = gh$ and $q = hg$). The second case, namely that p and q are conjugated, turns out to be far more difficult.

Non-conjugated roots

Proposition 4.5. *Let (Γ, I) be an independence alphabet and let $\eta\colon \mathbb{M}(\Gamma, I) \hookrightarrow Q$ be an embedding. Let furthermore $b \in \Gamma$ and $p, q \in A^+$ be primitive with $p \not\sim q$ such that*

$$\pi(\eta(b)) \in p^+ \text{ and } \overline{\pi}(\eta(b)) \in q^+\,.$$

Then there is at most one letter $a \in \Gamma$ with $(a, b) \in I$.

Proof. Towards a contradiction, suppose there are distinct letters a and c in Γ with $(a,b),(b,c) \in I$. Let

$$u = \mathrm{nf}(\eta([ab]_I))\,,\ v = \mathrm{nf}(\eta(b))\,,\ \text{and}\ w = \mathrm{nf}(\eta([bc]_I))\,.$$

Since $(a,b) \in I$, we have $ab \equiv_I ba$ and therefore $\eta([ab]_I) = \eta([ba]_I)$. This implies $\pi(\eta(a))\ \pi(\eta(b)) = \pi(\eta(b))\ \pi(\eta(a))$, i.e., the two words $\pi(\eta(a))$ and $\pi(\eta(b))$ commute in the free monoid. Since $\pi(\beta(b)) \in p^+$ and p is primitive, this implies $\pi(\eta(a)) \in p^*$ and therefore $\pi(u) = \pi(\eta(a))\ \pi(\eta(b)) \in p^+$. Similarly, $\overline{\pi}(u) \in q^+$ as well as $\pi(w) \in p^+$ and $\overline{\pi}(w) \in q^+$. Hence there are positive natural numbers $a_u, a_v, a_w, b_u, b_v, b_w$ such that the following hold:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \pi(u) = p^{a_u} & \pi(v) = p^{a_v} & \pi(w) = p^{a_w} \\ \overline{\pi}(u) = q^{b_u} & \overline{\pi}(v) = q^{b_v} & \overline{\pi}(w) = q^{b_w} \end{array}$$

First we prove that there exist vectors $\overrightarrow{x} = (x_u, x_v, x_w) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ and $\overrightarrow{y} = (y_u, y_v, y_w) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ with $\overrightarrow{x} \neq \overrightarrow{y}$ such that

$$u^{x_u} v^{x_v} w^{x_w} \equiv u^{y_u} v^{y_v} w^{y_w}\,. \tag{3}$$

Consider the following system of linear equations:

$$\left.\begin{array}{r} a_u x_u + a_v x_v + a_w x_w = a_u y_u + a_v y_v + a_w y_w \\ b_u x_u + b_v x_v + b_w x_w = b_u y_u + b_v y_v + b_w y_w \end{array}\right\} \tag{4}$$

Using Gaussian elimination, we find a nontrivial rational solution. Hence the system (4) has an integer solution. Increasing all entries in the integer solution by some fixed number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ yields another solution. Hence we can choose n large enough such that the resulting solution $\overrightarrow{x} = (x_u, x_v, x_w)$ and $\overrightarrow{y} = (y_u, y_v, y_w)$ satisfies

- $\overrightarrow{x}, \overrightarrow{y} \in \mathbb{N}^3$
- $|p| + |q| \leq b_w \cdot x_w \cdot |q|$ and $|p| + |q| \leq b_w \cdot y_w \cdot |q|$, and
- $|p| + |q| \leq (a_u \cdot x_u + a_v \cdot x_v) \cdot |p|$ and $|p| + |q| \leq (a_u \cdot y_u + a_v \cdot y_v) \cdot |p|$.

Now we show that $\overrightarrow{x}$ and $\overrightarrow{y}$ is a solution to the Equation (3).
First, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(u^{x_u} v^{x_v} w^{x_w}) &= (p^{a_u})^{x_u} (p^{a_v})^{x_v} (p^{a_w})^{x_w} \\ &= p^{a_u x_u + a_v x_v + a_w x_w} \\ &= p^{a_u y_u + a_v y_v + a_w y_w} \\ &= \pi(u^{y_u} v^{y_v} w^{y_w}) \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\overline{\pi}(u^{x_u} v^{x_v} w^{x_w}) = \overline{\pi}(u^{y_u} v^{y_v} w^{y_w})\,.$$

It remains to be shown that $\mu(u^{x_u} v^{x_v} w^{x_w})$ equals $\mu(u^{y_u} v^{y_v} w^{y_w})$. Let H denote the set of words that are both, a suffix of q^m and a prefix of p^n for some $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. First note that $\mu(u)$ belongs to H since it is a suffix of $\overline{\pi}(u) = q^{b_u}$ and a prefix of $\pi(u) = p^{a_u}$. By Lemma 2.6,

$$\mu(u^{x_u} = \mathrm{ol}(\mu(u) q^{b_u(x_u - 1)}, p^{a_u(x_u - 1)}\, \mu(u))$$

is a suffix of $\mu(u) q^{b_u(x_u-1)}$ which is a suffix of q^m for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ since $u \in H$. Symmetrically, $\mu(u^{x_u})$ is a prefix of $p^{a_u(x_u-1)}\, \mu(u)$ and therefore a prefix of p^m for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ since $\mu(u) \in H$. Hence we get $\mu(u^{x_u}) \in H$. Using the analogous arguments, it follows that

$$\mu(u^{x_u} v^{x_v}) = \mathrm{ol}(\mu(u^{x_u})\, q^{b_v x_v}, p^{a_u x_u}\ \mu(v^{x_v}))\,.$$

belongs to H. Finally, also

$$\mu(u^{x_u} v^{x_v} w^{x_w}) = \mathrm{ol}(\mu(u^{x_u} v^{x_v}) q^{b_w x_w}, p^{a_u x_u + a_v x_v}\, \mu(w^{x_w}))$$

is an element of H by analogous arguments. For our following argument, it is important to note that $\mu(u^{x_u}v^{x_v}w^{x_w})$ is a factor of q^m and of p^m for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $p \not\sim q$, [8, Lemma7, p.282] implies $|\,\mu(u^{x_u}v^{x_v}w^{x_w})| \leq |p| + |q|$. Furthermore, we have $|p| + |q| \leq b_w \cdot x_w \cdot |q| = |q^{b_w x_w}|$ and $|p| + |q| \leq (a_u x_u + a_v x_v) \cdot |p| = |p^{a_u x_u + a_v x_v}|$ and therefore $|\,\mu(u^{x_u}v^{x_v}w^{x_w})| \leq |q^{b_w x_w}|$ and $|\,\mu(u^{x_u}v^{x_v}w^{x_w})| \leq |p^{a_u x_u + a_v x_v}|$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(u^{x_u}v^{x_v}w^{x_w}) &= \mathrm{ol}(\mu(u^{x_u}v^{x_v})q^{b_w x_w}, p^{a_u x_u + a_v x_v}\ \mu(w^{x_w})) \\
&= \mathrm{ol}(q^{b_w x_w}, p^{a_u x_u + a_v x_v}) \\
&= \mathrm{ol}(q^{b_u x_u + b_v x_v + b_w x_w}, p^{a_u x_u + a_v x_v + a_w x_w}) \\
&= \mathrm{ol}(q^{b_u y_u + b_v y_v + b_w y_w}, p^{a_u y_u + a_v y_v + a_w y_w})\,.
\end{aligned}$$

By symmetric arguments, this last overlap equals $\mu(u^{y_u}v^{y_v}w^{y_w})$. Thus, indeed,

$$\mu(u^{x_u}v^{x_v}w^{x_w}) = \mu(u^{y_u}v^{y_v}w^{y_w})\,.$$

Hence the two words $u^{x_u}v^{x_v}w^{x_w}$ and $u^{y_u}v^{y_v}w^{y_w}$ agree in their projections and their normal forms agree in their mixed part. Consequently, the normal forms of these two words coincide. Hence they are equivalent, i.e., as required, we found a non-trivial solution $\overrightarrow{x}$, $\overrightarrow{y}$ of Equation (3).

Finally we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta([(ab)^{x_u}b^{x_v}(bc)^{x_w}]_I) &= [u^{x_u}v^{x_v}w^{x_w}] \\
&= [u^{y_u}v^{y_v}w^{y_w}] \\
&= \eta([(ab)^{y_u}b^{y_v}(bc)^{y_w}]_I)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Since η is injective, and since $(a,b),(b,c) \in I$, this implies

$$\begin{aligned}
a^{x_u}b^{x_u+x_v+x_w}c^{x_w} &\equiv_I (ab)^{x_u}b^{x_v}(bc)^{x_w} \\
&\equiv_I (ab)^{y_u}b^{y_v}(bc)^{y_w} \\
&\equiv_I a^{y_u}b^{y_u+y_v+y_w}c^{y_w}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the letters a, b, and c are mutually distinct, we obtain

$$(x_u, x_u + x_v + x_w, x_w) = (y_u, y_u + y_v + y_w, y_w)$$

and therefore $\overrightarrow{x} = \overrightarrow{y}$. But this contradicts our choice of these two vectors as distinct. Thus there are no two distinct letters a and c with $(a,b),(b,c) \in I$. □

Note that the above proof, essentially, proceeded as follows: we aimed at a nontrivial solution to Equation (3) in natural numbers. Length conditions on the positive and negative projections yielded the system of linear equations (4). Since this system consists of two equations in the unknown $x_u - y_u$, $x_v - y_v$ and $x_w - y_w$, it has an integer solution that can be increased by arbitrary natural numbers, i.e., there is a "sufficiently large" solution that makes the positive (and negative) projections of $u^{x_u}v^{x_v}w^{x_w}$ and $u^{y_u}v^{y_v}w^{y_w}$ equal. Using that this solution is "sufficiently large" and that p and q are not conjugated, we employed some combinatorics on words to prove that also the mixed parts of the normal forms of these two words were equal.

Conjugated roots We now want to prove a similar result in case p and q are conjugated. The proof, although technically more involved, will proceed similarly, i.e., we will determine a non-trivial solution of Equation (3). But presentationwise, we will proceed differently: First, Lemma 4.8 describes the mixed part of the normal form of $u^{x_u}v^{x_v}w^{x_w}$. Then, Lemma 4.9 determines a non-trival solution to (some rotation of) Equation (3), before, finally, Proposition 4.10 proves the analogous to Proposition 4.5 for conjugated roots.

We first prove a combinatorial lemma on words that are prefix of some power of p and, at the same time, suffixes of some power of q (where p and q are conjugated).

Lemma 4.6. *Let $g \in A^*$, $h \in A^+$ such that $p = gh$ and $q = hg$ are both primitive words. Let furthermore y be some suffix of q^i and some prefix of p^j for some $i, j \geq 1$ such that $|y| \geq |q|$. Then $y = gq^k = p^k g$ where $k = \left\lfloor \frac{|y|}{|q|} \right\rfloor$.*

Proof. Since y is a suffix of q^i, there exist words $r \in A^+$ and $s \in A^*$ with $y = sq^k$ and $q = rs$.

Since p and q are conjugate, their lengths are equal. Hence $k = \left\lfloor \frac{|y|}{|p|} \right\rfloor$. Since y is a prefix of p^j, there exist words $s' \in A^*$ and $t \in A^+$ with $y = p^k s'$ and $p = s't$.

Since $|p| = |q|$, $sq^k = y = p^k s'$ implies $|s| = |s'|$. Together with $s(rs)^k = sq^k = y = p^k s' = (s't)^k s' = s'(ts')^k$, this implies $s = s'$. Since $k > 0$, we also get $r = t$. Hence we obtained $q = rs$ and $p = s't = sr$. Since p and q are conjugate primitive words and $r \in A^+$, [9, Proposition 1.3.3, p. 8] implies $(g, h) = (s, r)$. This ensures in particular $g = s$ and therefore $y = gq^k = p^k g$. □

Using this combinatorial lemma, we can often determine the overlap of two words via the following corollary:

Corollary 4.7. *Let $g \in A^*$, $h \in A^+$ such that $p = gh$ and $q = hg$ are both primitive words. Furthermore, let p' be a suffix of p with $|p'| < |p|$ and let q' be a prefix of q with $|q'| < |q|$.*

Then for every $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\mathrm{ol}(p'gq^i, p^j gq') = gq^{min(i,j)}$.

Proof. Let $y = \mathrm{ol}(p'gq^i, p^j gq')$. Since p' is a suffix of $p = gh$, the word $p'gq^i$ is a suffix of $ghgq^i = gq^{i+1}$ and therefore of q^{i+2}. Hence also y is a suffix of q^{i+2}. Similarly, y is a prefix of p^{j+2}. By Lemma 4.6, we obtain $y = gq^k = p^k g$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and it remains to be shown that $k = \min(i, j)$.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} k|q| + |g| &= |y| \\ &\leq |p'gq^i| && \text{since } y \text{ is a suffix of } p'gq^i \\ &< (i+1)|q| + |g| && \text{since } |p'| < |p| = |q|\,. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $k \leq i$ and, similarly, we can show $k \leq j$, i.e., $k \leq \min(i, j)$. On the other hand note that $gq^{\min(i,j)} = p^{\min(i,j)}g$ is a suffix of $p'gq^i$ and a prefix of $p^j gq'$ implying $k \geq \min(i, j)$ since $gq^k = \mathrm{ol}(p'gq^i, p^j gq')$. Hence $k = \min(i, j)$. □

Lemma 4.8. *Let $g \in A^*$, $h \in A^+$ such that $p = gh$ and $q = hg$ are primitive. Let $u, v, w \in Q$ such that the following holds for some $a_u, a_v, a_w, b_u, b_v, b_w \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, and $c_u, c_v, c_w \in \mathbb{Z}$:*

$$\pi(u) = p^{a_u} \qquad \overline{\pi}(u) = q^{b_u} \qquad c_u = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } |\mu(u)| < |g| \\ \left\lfloor \frac{|\mu(u)|}{|q|} \right\rfloor & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\pi(v) = p^{a_v} \qquad \overline{\pi}(v) = q^{b_v} \qquad c_v = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } |\mu(v)| < |g| \\ \left\lfloor \frac{|\mu(v)|}{|q|} \right\rfloor & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\pi(w) = p^{a_w} \qquad \overline{\pi}(w) = q^{b_w} \qquad c_w = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } |\mu(w)| < |g| \\ \left\lfloor \frac{|\mu(w)|}{|q|} \right\rfloor & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let $\overrightarrow{x} = (x_u, x_v, x_w) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ with $x_u, x_v, x_w \geq 2$. Then $\mu(u^{x_u} v^{x_v} w^{x_w}) = gq^{X_{\overrightarrow{x}}} = p^{X_{\overrightarrow{x}}} g$ where

$$X_{\overrightarrow{x}} = \min \begin{pmatrix} \min(a_u, b_u)x_u + & b_v x_v + & b_w x_w + & c_u - \min(a_u, b_u), \\ a_u x_u + & \min(a_v, b_v)x_v + & b_w x_w + & c_v - \min(a_v, b_v), \\ a_u x_u + & a_v x_v + & \min(a_w, b_w)x_w + & c_w - \min(a_w, b_w) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.6, we get

$$\mu(u^{x_u}) = \mathrm{ol}(c(u)\,\overline{\pi}(u)^{x_u - 1}, \pi(u)^{x_u - 1}\,\mu(u))\,.$$

Depending on the length of $\mu(u)$, we distinguish three cases: First, let $|\mu(u)| < |g|$. Since $\mu(u)$ is a suffix of $\overline{\pi}(u) \in q^* = (hg)^*$, the word $\mu(u)$ is a suffix of g. Similarly, $\mu(u)$ is a prefix of $\pi(u) \in p^* = (gh)^*$ implying that $\mu(u)$ is a prefix of g. Then $a_u, b_u > 0$ and $x_u \geq 2$ imply $b_u(x_u - 1), a_u(x_u - 1) > 0$. Hence we can determine $\mu(u)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(u^{x_u}) &= \mathrm{ol}(\mu(u)q^{b_u(x_u-1)}, p^{a_u(x_u-1)}\,\mu(u)) \\
&= \mathrm{ol}(\mu(u)hgq^{b_u(x_u-1)-1}, p^{a_u(x_u-1)-1}gh\,\mu(u)) \\
&= gq^{\min(b_u(x_u-1)-1, a_u(x_u-1)-1)} && \text{by Corollary 4.7} \\
&= gq^{\min(a_u,b_u)\cdot(x_u-1)+c_u} && \text{since } c_u = -1
\end{aligned}$$

Next, consider the case $|g| \leq |\mu(u)| < |q|$. Then $\mu(u)$ is a prefix of $p = gh$ and a suffix of $q = hg$. Hence there are a prefix h' and a suffix h'' of h with $\mu(u) = gh' = h''g$. Now we can determine $\mu(u^{x_u}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(u^{x_u}) &= \mathrm{ol}(\mu(u)q^{b_u(x_u-1)}, p^{a_u(x_u-1)}\,\mu(u)) \\
&= \mathrm{ol}(h'gq^{b_u(x_u-1)}, p^{a_u(x_u-1)}gh'') \\
&= gq^{\min(b_u(x_u-1), a_u(x_u-1))} && \text{by Corollary 4.7} \\
&= gq^{\min(a_u,b_u)\cdot(x_u-1)+c_u} && \text{since } c_u = 0
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, let $|q| \leq |\mu(u)|$. Then $c_2 = \left\lfloor \frac{|\mu(u)|}{|q|} \right\rfloor$. Furthermore, $\mu(u)$ is a prefix of $\pi(u) \in p^*$ and a suffix of $\overline{\pi}(u) \in q^*$. Hence, by Lemma 4.6, $\mu(u) = gq^{c_u} = p^{c_u}g$. Hence we can determine $\mu(u^{x_u})$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(u^{x_u}) &= \mathrm{ol}(\mu(u)q^{b_u(x_u-1)}, p^{a_u(x_u-1)}\,\mu(u)) \\
&= \mathrm{ol}(gq^{c_u+b_u(x_u-1)}, p^{a_u(x_u-1)+c_u}g) \\
&= gq^{\min(c_u+b_u(x_u-1), a_u(x_u-1)+c_u)} && \text{by Corollary 4.7} \\
&= gq^{\min(a_u,b_u)\cdot(x_u-1)+c_u}
\end{aligned}$$

In other words, we proved

$$\mu(u^{x_u}) = gq^{e_u} = p^{e_u}g$$

with

$$e_u = \min(a_u, b_u) \cdot (x_u - 1) + c_u\,.$$

Clearly, similar statements hold for $\mu(v^{x_v})$ and $\mu(w^{x_w})$.

In a second step, we determine $\mu(u^{x_u}v^{x_v})$. We get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(u^{x_u}v^{x_v}) &= \mathrm{ol}(\mu(u^{x_u})\ \overline{\pi}(v^{x_v}), \pi(u^{x_u})\ \mu(v^{x_v})) \\
&= \mathrm{ol}(gq^{e_u}q^{b_v x_v}, p^{a_u x_u}p^{e_v}g) \\
&= gq^{\min(e_u+b_v x_v, a_u x_u+e_v)}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

In other words,

$$\mu(u^{x_u}v^{x_v}) = gq^{e_{uv}} = p^{e_{uv}}g$$

with

$$e_{uv} = \min(e_u + b_v x_v, a_u x_u + e_v)\,.$$

In a third and last step, we determine $\mu(u^{x_u}v^{x_v}w^{x_w})$. Note that $\mu(w^{x_w}) = p^{e_w}g$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(u^{x_u}v^{x_v}w^{x_w}) &= \mathrm{ol}(\mu(u^{x_u}v^{x_v})\,\overline{\pi}(w^{x_w}), \pi(u^{x_u}v^{x_v})\,\mu(w^{x_w})) \\
&= \mathrm{ol}(gq^{e_{uv}}q^{b_w x_w}, p^{a_u x_u + a_v x_v}\ q^{e_w}g) \\
&= gq^{\min(e_{uv}+b_w x_w, a_u x_u + a_v x_v + e_w)}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Unraveling the definitions of e_u, e_v, e_w, and e_{uv} yields

$$\begin{aligned}\min(e_{uv} + b_w x_w, a_u x_u + a_v x_v + e_w) &= \min\begin{pmatrix}\min(e_u + b_v x_v, a_u x_u + e_v) + b_w x_w,\\ a_u x_u + a_v x_v + e_w\end{pmatrix}\\ &= \min\begin{pmatrix}e_u + b_v x_v + b_w x_w,\\ a_u x_u + e_v + b_w x_w,\\ a_u x_u + a_v x_v + e_w\end{pmatrix}\\ &= X_{\overrightarrow{x}}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have indeed $\mu(u^{x_u} v^{x_v} w^{x_w}) = g q^{X_{\overrightarrow{x}}}$. □

Lemma 4.9. *Let $g \in A^*$, $h \in A^+$ such that $p = gh$ and $q = hg$ are primitive. Let $u', v', w' \in \Sigma^+$ with $\pi(u'), \pi(v'), \pi(w') \in p^+$ and $\overline{\pi}(u'), \overline{\pi}(v'), \overline{\pi}(w') \in q^+$.*

Then there exist a rotation (u, v, w) of (u', v', w') and vectors $\overrightarrow{x} = (x_u, x_v, x_w) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ and $\overrightarrow{y} = (y_u, y_v, y_w) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ with $\overrightarrow{x} \neq \overrightarrow{y}$ such that

$$u^{x_u} v^{x_v} w^{x_w} \equiv u^{y_u} v^{y_v} w^{y_w}\,. \tag{5}$$

Proof. We choose the rotation (u, v, w) such that one of the following three conditions hold:

1. $|\pi(u)| = |\overline{\pi}(u)|$, $|\pi(v)| = |\overline{\pi}(v)|$, and $|\pi(w)| = |\overline{\pi}(w)|$ or
2. $|\pi(u)| > |\overline{\pi}(u)|$ or
3. $|\pi(w)| < |\overline{\pi}(w)|$.

Given this rotation, we define the natural numbers $a_u, a_v, a_w, b_u, b_v, b_w, c_u, c_v, c_w$ as in Lemma 4.8.

Consider the following system of linear equations:

$$\left.\begin{aligned}a_u x_u + a_v x_v + a_w x_w &= a_u y_u + a_v y_v + a_w y_w\\ b_u x_u + b_v x_v + b_w x_w &= b_u y_u + b_v y_v + b_w y_w\end{aligned}\right\} \tag{6}$$

Using Gaussian elimination, we find a nontrivial rational solution. Hence the system (6) has an integer solution. Increasing all entries in this solution by the minimal entry plus 2 yields a nontrivial solution $\overrightarrow{x'} = (x'_u, x'_v, x'_w)$ and $\overrightarrow{y'} = (y'_u, y'_v, y'_w)$ with $\overrightarrow{x'}, \overrightarrow{y'} \in \mathbb{N}^3$ and $x'_u, x'_v, x'_w, y'_u, y'_v, y'_w \geq 2$.

From this solution of the system (6) of linear equations, we now construct a nontrivial solution $\overrightarrow{x}$, $\overrightarrow{y}$ that, in addition, satisfies $X_{\overrightarrow{x}} = X_{\overrightarrow{y}}$. This is done by considering the three possible cases for the rotation (u, v, w) separately.

First, let $|\pi(u)| = |\overline{\pi}(u)|$, $|\pi(v)| = |\overline{\pi}(v)|$, and $|\pi(w)| = |\overline{\pi}(w)|$, i.e., $a_u = b_u$, $a_v = b_v$, and $a_w = b_w$. We obtain for the values $X_{\overrightarrow{x'}}$ and $X_{\overrightarrow{y'}}$ from Lemma 4.8:

$$\begin{aligned}X_{\overrightarrow{x'}} &= \min\begin{pmatrix}a_u x'_u + a_v x'_v + a_w x'_w + c_u - a_u\\ a_u x'_u + a_v x'_v + a_w x'_w + c_v - a_v\\ a_u x'_u + a_v x'_v + a_w x'_w + c_w - a_w\end{pmatrix}\\ &= \min\begin{pmatrix}a_u y'_u + a_v y'_v + a_w y'_w + c_u - a_u\\ a_u y'_u + a_v y'_v + a_w y'_w + c_v - a_v\\ a_u y'_u + a_v y'_v + a_w y'_w + c_w - a_w\end{pmatrix}\\ &= X_{\overrightarrow{y'}}\,.\end{aligned}$$

This solves the first case.

Now, suppose $|\pi(u)| > |\overline{\pi}(u)|$ and therefore $a_u > b_u$. Then we find $k \geq 0$ such that the following hold:

$$\begin{aligned}b_u(x'_u + k) + b_v x'_v + b_w x'_w + c_u - \min(a_u, b_u) &\leq a_u(x'_u + k) + \min(a_v, b_v) x'_v + b_w x'_w + c_v - \min(a_v, b_v)\\ b_u(x'_u + k) + b_v x'_v + b_w x'_w + c_u - \min(a_u, b_u) &\leq a_u(x'_u + k) + a_v x'_v + \min(a_w, b_w) x'_w + c_w - \min(a_w, b_w)\\ b_u(y'_u + k) + b_v y'_v + b_w y'_w + c_u - \min(a_u, b_u) &\leq a_u(y'_u + k) + \min(a_v, b_v) y'_v + b_w y'_w + c_v - \min(a_v, b_v)\\ b_u(y'_u + k) + b_v y'_v + b_w y'_w + c_u - \min(a_u, b_u) &\leq a_u(y'_u + k) + a_v y'_v + \min(a_w, b_w) y'_w + c_w - \min(a_w, b_w)\end{aligned}$$

The reason is that in all cases, when increasing k, the right-hand side grows faster than the left-hand side. Set

$$\overrightarrow{x} = (x'_u + k, x'_v, x'_w) \text{ and } \overrightarrow{y} = (y'_u + k, y'_v, y'_w)\,.$$

Then this pair of vectors forms a non-trivial solution of the system (6). Since $b_u = \min(a_u, b_u)$, as a consequence we get in addition

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\overrightarrow{x}} &= b_u x_u + b_v x_v + b_w x_w + c_u - \min(a_u, b_u) \\ &= b_u y_u + b_v y_v + b_w y_w + c_u - \min(a_u, b_u) \\ &= X_{\overrightarrow{y}}\,. \end{aligned}$$

This solves the second case.

Finally, suppose $|\,\pi(w)| < |\,\overline{\pi}(w)|$ and therefore $a_w < b_w$. The argument now is dual to the previous case: We find $k \geq 0$ such that the following hold:

$$\begin{aligned} a_u x'_u + a_v x'_v + a_w(x'_w + k) + c_u - \min(a_w, b_w) &\leq \min(a_u, b_u)x'_u + b_v x'_v + b_w(x'_w + k) + c_u - \min(a_u, b_u) \\ a_u x'_u + a_v x'_v + a_w(x'_w + k) + c_u - \min(a_w, b_w) &\leq a_u x'_u + \min(a_v, b_v)x'_v + b_w(x'_w + k) + c_v - \min(a_v, b_v) \\ a_u y'_u + a_v y'_v + a_w(y'_w + k) + c_u - \min(a_w, b_w) &\leq \min(a_u, b_u)y'_u + b_v y'_v + b_w(y'_w + k) + c_u - \min(a_u, b_u) \\ a_u y'_u + a_v y'_v + a_w(y'_w + k) + c_u - \min(a_w, b_w) &\leq a_u y'_u + \min(a_v, b_v)y'_v + b_w(y'_w + k) + c_v - \min(a_v, b_v) \end{aligned}$$

The reason is that in all cases, when increasing k, the right-hand side grows faster than the left-hand side. This time, set

$$\overrightarrow{x} = (x'_u, x'_v, x'_w + k) \text{ and } \overrightarrow{y} = (y'_u, y'_v, y'_w + k)\,.$$

Then this pair of vectors forms a non-trivial solution of the system (6). Since $a_w = \min(a_w, b_w)$, as a consequence we get in addition

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\overrightarrow{x}} &= a_u x_u + a_v x_v + a_w x_w + c_w - \min(a_w, b_w) \\ &= a_u y_u + a_v y_v + a_w y_w + c_w - \min(a_w, b_w) \\ &= X_{\overrightarrow{y}}\,. \end{aligned}$$

This solves the third and last case.

So far, we constructed a nontrivial solution $\overrightarrow{x}$, $\overrightarrow{y}$ with natural coefficients of the system (6) that, in addition, satisfies $X_{\overrightarrow{x}} = X_{\overrightarrow{y}}$. Furthermore, all entries in these two vectors are at least 2. We finally show that this is a solution to the Equation (5):

First, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(u^{x_u} v^{x_v} w^{x_w}) &= (p^{a_u})^{x_u} (p^{a_v})^{x_v} (p^{a_w})^{x_w} \\ &= p^{a_u x_u + a_v x_v + a_w x_w} \\ &= p^{a_u y_u + a_v y_v + a_w y_w} \\ &= \pi(u^{y_u} v^{y_v} w^{y_w}) \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\overline{\pi}(u^{x_u} v^{x_v} w^{x_w}) = \overline{\pi}(u^{y_u} v^{y_v} w^{y_w})\,.$$

By Lemma 4.8, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(u^{x_u} v^{x_v} w^{x_w}) &= g q^{X_{\overrightarrow{x}}} \\ &= g q^{X_{\overrightarrow{y}}} \\ &= \mu(u^{y_u} v^{y_v} w^{y_w})\,. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the two words $u^{x_u} v^{x_v} w^{x_w}$ and $u^{y_u} v^{y_v} w^{y_w}$ agree in their projections and their normal forms agree in their mixed part. Consequently, the normal forms of these two words coincide. Hence they are equivalent, i.e., as required, we found a non-trivial solution $\overrightarrow{x}$, $\overrightarrow{y}$ of equation Equation (5). □

Proposition 4.10. *Let (Γ, I) be an independence alphabet and let $\eta\colon \mathbb{M}(\Gamma, I) \hookrightarrow Q$ be an embedding. Let furthermore $b \in \Gamma$ and $p, q \in A^+$ be primitive with $p \sim q$ such that*

$$\pi(\eta(b)) \in p^+ \text{ and } \overline{\pi}(\eta(b)) \in q^+ .$$

Then there is at most one letter $a \in \Gamma$ with $(a, b) \in I$.

Proof. Towards a contradiction, suppose there are distinct letters a and c in Γ with $(a, b), (b, c) \in I$. Let

$$u' = \mathrm{nf}(\eta([ab]_I)) , \ v' = \mathrm{nf}(\eta(b)) , \text{ and } w' = \mathrm{nf}(\eta([bc]_I)) .$$

Since $(a, b) \in I$, we have $ab \equiv_I ba$ and therefore $\eta([ab]_I) = \eta([ba]_I)$. This implies $\pi(\eta(a))\ \pi(\eta(b)) = \pi(\eta(b))\ \pi(\eta(a))$, i.e., the two words $\pi(\eta(a))$ and $\pi(\eta(b))$ commute in the free monoid. Since $\pi(\beta(b)) \in p^+$ and p is primitive, this implies $\pi(\eta(a)) \in p^*$ and therefore $\pi(u') = \pi(\eta(a))\ \pi(\eta(b)) \in p^+$. Similarly, $\overline{\pi}(u') \in q^+$ as well as $\pi(w') \in p^+$ and $\overline{\pi}(w') \in q^+$.

Hence, by Lemma 4.9, there exists a rotation (u, v, w) of (u', v', w') and distinct vectors $\overrightarrow{x}$, $\overrightarrow{y} \in \mathbb{N}^3$ satisfying Equation (5). We consider the three possible rotations separately.

First suppose the rotation is trivial, i.e., $(u, v, w) = (u', v', w')$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \eta([(ab)^{x_u} b^{x_v} (bc)^{x_w}]_I) &= [u^{x_u} v^{x_v} w^{x_w}] \\ &= [u^{y_u} v^{y_v} w^{y_w}] \\ &= \eta([(ab)^{y_u} b^{y_v} (bc)^{y_w}]_I) . \end{aligned}$$

Since η is injective, and since $(a, b), (b, c) \in I$, this implies

$$\begin{aligned} a^{x_u} b^{x_u + x_v + x_w} c^{x_w} &\equiv_I (ab)^{x_u} b^{x_v} (bc)^{x_w} \\ &\equiv_I (ab)^{y_u} b^{y_v} (bc)^{y_w} \\ &\equiv_I a^{y_u} b^{y_u + y_v + y_w} c^{y_w} . \end{aligned}$$

Since the letters a, b, and c are mutually distinct, we obtain

$$(x_u, x_u + x_v + x_w, x_w) = (y_u, y_u + y_v + y_w, y_w)$$

and therefore $\overrightarrow{x} = \overrightarrow{y}$. But this contradicts our choice of these two vectors as distinct.

Secondly, suppose $(u, v, w) = (v', w', u')$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \eta([b^{x_u} (bc)^{x_v} (ab)^{x_w}]_I) &= [u^{x_u} v^{x_v} w^{x_w}] \\ &= [u^{y_u} v^{y_v} w^{y_w}] \\ &= \eta([b^{y_u} (bc)^{y_v} (ab)^{y_w}]_I) . \end{aligned}$$

As in the previous case, injectivity of η and commutation of b with a and with c yields

$$c^{x_v} b^{x_u + x_v + x_w} a^{x_w} \equiv_I c^{y_v} b^{y_u + y_v + y_w} a^{y_w} .$$

From the distinctness of a, b and c, we again get $\overrightarrow{x} = \overrightarrow{y}$ which contradicts our choice of these two vectors as distinct.

Finally, suppose $(u, v, w) = (w', u', v')$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \eta([(bc)^{x_u} (ab)^{x_v} b^{x_w}]_I) &= [u^{x_u} v^{x_v} w^{x_w}] \\ &= [u^{y_u} v^{y_v} w^{y_w}] \\ &= \eta([(bc)^{y_u} (ab)^{y_v} b^{y_w}]_I) . \end{aligned}$$

As in the previous cases, this yields a contradiction to our choice of the two vectors $\overrightarrow{x}$ and $\overrightarrow{y}$ as distinct.

Thus, indeed, there are no two distinct letters a and c with $(a, b), (b, c) \in I$. □

The following corollary is the main result of this section. Its proof is an immediate consequence of Propositions 4.5 and 4.10 (depending on whether the roots of the two projections of $\eta(a)$ are conjugated or not).

Corollary 4.11. *Let (Γ, I) be an independence alphabet, let $\eta\colon \mathbb{M}(\Gamma, I) \hookrightarrow Q$ be an embedding, and let $a \in \Gamma$. If $\pi(\eta(a)) \neq \varepsilon$ and $\overline{\pi}(\eta(b)) \neq \varepsilon$, then the degree of a is ≤ 1.*

4.4 (Γ, I) is P_4-free

Lemma 4.12. *Let $t, u, v, w \in \Sigma^+$ such that $\overline{\pi}(u) = \varepsilon$, $\pi(v) = \varepsilon$, $vw \equiv wv$, and $tu \equiv ut$. Then there exists a tuple $\overrightarrow{x} = (x_t, x_{u_1}, x_{u_2}, x_v, x_w)$ of natural numbers with $x_t, x_w \neq 0$ and*

$$u^{x_{u_1}} v^{x_v} w t^{x_t} w^{x_w} u^{x_{u_2}} \equiv u^{x_{u_1}} w u^{x_{u_2}} w^{x_w} t^{x_t} v^{x_v} \,. \tag{7}$$

Proof. Since $\overline{\pi}(u) = \varepsilon$ and $\pi(v) = \varepsilon$, there are primitive words p and q and natural numbers $a_u, b_v > 0$ with

$$u = \pi(u) = p^{a_u} \text{ and } v = \overline{\pi}(v) = q^{b_v} \,.$$

Since $tu \equiv ut$ and $vw \equiv wv$, there are $a_t, b_w \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$\pi(t) = p^{a_t} \text{ and } \overline{\pi}(w) = q^{b_w} \,.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(v^{b_w} w t^{a_u} w^{b_v} u^{a_t}) &= \varepsilon^{b_w}\, \pi(w) p^{a_t a_u}\, \pi(w^{b_v}) p^{a_u a_t} \\ &= \pi(w) p^{a_u a_t}\, \pi(w^{b_v}) p^{a_t a_u} \varepsilon \\ &= \pi(w u^{a_t} w^{b_v} t^{a_u} v^{b_w}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\pi}(v^{b_w} w t^{a_u} w^{b_v} u^{a_t}) &= q^{b_v b_w} q^{b_w}\, \overline{\pi}(t^{a_u}) q^{b_w b_v} \varepsilon \\ &= q^{b_w} \varepsilon q^{b_w b_v}\, \overline{\pi}(t^{a_u}) q^{b_v b_w} \\ &= \overline{\pi}(w u^{a_t} w^{b_v} t^{a_u} v^{b_w}) \,. \end{aligned}$$

Let $y \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|\,\overline{\pi}(v^{b_w} w t^{a_u} w^{b_v} u^{a_t})| = |\,\overline{\pi}(w u^{a_t} w^{b_v} t^{a_u} v^{b_w})| \leq |u^y|$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u^y v^{b_w} w t^{a_u} w^{b_v} u^{a_t} &\equiv u^y\, \overline{\pi(v^{b_w} w t^{a_u} w^{b_v} u^{a_t})}\; \pi(v^{b_w} w t^{a_u} w^{b_v} u^{a_t}) && \text{by Lemma 2.4} \\ &= u^y\, \overline{\pi(w u^{a_t} w^{b_v} t^{a_u} v^{b_w})}\; \pi(w u^{a_t} w^{b_v} t^{a_u} v^{b_w}) \\ &\equiv u^y w u^{a_t} w^{b_v} t^{a_u} v^{b_w} && \text{by Lemma 2.4} \,. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the tuple $(x_t, x_{u_1}, x_{u_2}, x_v, x_w) = (a_u, y, a_t, b_w, b_v)$ has the desired properties. □

Proposition 4.13. *Let (Γ, I) be an independence alphabet and let $\eta\colon \mathbb{M}(\Gamma, I) \hookrightarrow Q$ be an embedding. Then (Γ, I) is P_4-free.*

Proof. Suppose there are mutually distinct nodes $a, b, c, d \in \Gamma$ with $(a, b), (b, c), (c, d) \in I$. Then b and c both have degree ≥ 2 in (Γ, I), i.e., they belong to $\Gamma_+ \cup \Gamma_-$ by Corollary 4.11. Since (Γ_+, I) and (Γ_-, I) are both discrete by Proposition 4.2, we can assume w.l.o.g. that $b \in \Gamma_+$ and $c \in \Gamma_-$.

There are words $t, u, v, w \in \Sigma^+$ with $\eta(a) = [t]$, $\eta(b) = [u]$, $\eta(c) = [v]$, and $\eta(d) = [w]$.

Since $(a, b) \in I$, we get $[tu] = \eta(ab) = \eta(ba) = [ut]$ and therefore $tu \equiv ut$. Since $(c, d) \in I$, we get $[vw] = \eta(cd) = \eta(dc) = [wv]$ and therefore $vw \equiv wv$.

Since $b \in \Gamma_+$, we get $\overline{\pi}(u) = \overline{\pi}(\eta(b)) = \varepsilon$. Similarly, from $c \in \Gamma_-$, we obtain $\pi(v) = \pi(\eta(c)) = \varepsilon$.

From Lemma 4.12, we find natural numbers $x_t, x_{u_1}, x_{u_2}, x_v, x_w$ such that $x_t, x_w \neq 0$ and

$$u^{x_{u_1}} v^{x_v} w t^{x_t} w^{x_w} u^{x_{u_2}} \equiv u^{x_{u_1}} w u^{x_{u_2}} w^{x_w} t^{x_t} v^{x_v} \,.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(b^{x_{u_1}} c^{x_v} d a^{x_t} d^{x_w} b^{x_{u_2}}) &= [u^{x_{u_1}} v^{x_v} w t^{x_t} w^{x_w} u^{x_{u_2}}] \\ &= [u^{x_{u_1}} w u^{x_{u_2}} w^{x_w} t^{x_t} v^{x_v}] \\ &= \eta(b^{x_{u_1}} d b^{x_{u_2}} d^{x_w} a^{x_t} c^{x_v}) \,. \end{aligned}$$

Since η is injective, this implies

$$b^{x_{u_1}} c^{x_v} d a^{x_t} d^{x_w} b^{x_{u_2}} \equiv_I b^{x_{u_1}} d b^{x_{u_2}} d^{x_w} a^{x_t} c^{x_v} \,.$$

Since $x_t, x_w \neq 0$ and $a \neq d$, we obtain $(a, d) \in I$. Hence the mutually disjoint nodes a, b, c, d do not induce P_4 in (Γ, I). □

4.5 Proof of the implication (1)⇒(3) in Theorem 2.7

Theorem 4.14. *Let (Γ, I) be an independence alphabet and $\eta\colon \mathbb{M}(\Gamma, I) \to Q$ be an embedding. Then one of the following conditions holds:*

1. *all nodes in (Γ, I) have degree ≤ 1 or*
2. *(Γ, I) has only one non-trivial connected component and this component is complete bipartite.*

Proof. Suppose (Γ, I) contains a node a of degree ≥ 2. Then, by Corollary 4.11, $a \in \Gamma_+ \cup \Gamma_-$. From Proposition 4.4, we obtain that a is connected to any edge, i.e., it belongs to the only nontrivial connected component C of (Γ, I). Note that $|C| \geq 3$ since it contains a and its ≥ 2 neighbors. Hence the induced subgraph (C, I) contains at least one edge. Therefore Proposition 4.4 implies $\Gamma_+ \cup \Gamma_- \subseteq C$. Note that all nodes in $C \setminus (\Gamma_+ \cup \Gamma_-)$ have degree 1 by Corollary 4.11. Hence, by Proposition 4.2, the connected graph (C, I) is a complete bipartite graph together with some additional nodes of degree 1. It follows that (C, I) is bipartite. By Proposition 4.13, it is a connected and P_4-free graph. Hence its complementary graph (C, D) is not connected [11]. But this implies that (C, I) is complete bipartite. □

References

1. P. Cori and D. Perrin. Automates et commutations partielles. *R.A.I.R.O. - Informatique Théorique et Applications*, 19:21–32, 1985.
2. V. Diekert. *Combinatorics on Traces*. Lecture Notes in Comp. Science vol. 454. Springer, 1990.
3. V. Diekert, A. Muscholl, and K. Reinhardt. On codings of traces. In *STACS'95*, Lecture Notes in Comp. Science vol. 900, pages 385–396. Springer, 1995.
4. V. Diekert and G. Rozenberg. *The Book of Traces*. World Scientific Publ. Co., 1995.
5. M. Huschenbett, D. Kuske, and G. Zetzsche. The monoid of queue actions. In *MFCS'14*, Lecture Notes in Comp. Science vol. 8634, pages 340–351. Springer, 2014.
6. M. Huschenbett, D. Kuske, and G. Zetzsche. The monoid of queue actions. submitted, 2016.
7. Michal Kunc. Undecidability of the trace coding problem and some decidable cases. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 310(1-3):393–456, 2004.
8. M. Lohrey and Ch. Mathissen. Compressed membership in automata with compressed labels. In *CSR'11*, Lecture Notes in Comp. Science vol. 6651, pages 275–288. Springer, 2011.
9. M. Lothaire. *Combinatorics on Words*. Addison-Wesley, 1983.
10. A. Mazurkiewicz. Concurrent program schemes and their interpretation. Technical report, DAIMI Report PB-78, Aarhus University, 1977.
11. D. Seinsche. On a property of the class of n-colorable graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory (B)*, 16:191–193, 1974.